

Computer Scientist's View of Cantor's Diagonalization

CIS 300 Fundamentals of Computer Science

Brian C. Ladd

Computer Science Department
SUNY Potsdam
Spring 2023

Sunday 23rd April, 2023

- 1 Algorithms
- 2 Deciders
- 3 The Halting Problem
 - Encoding
- 4 Diagonalization

Algorithms

Definition

Definition

An **algorithm** is a *finite series of precise instructions* for performing a computation or solving a problem that terminates with the *correct answer* in a finite amount of time.

Algorithms

Definition

Definition

An **algorithm** is a *finite series of precise instructions* for performing a computation or solving a problem that terminates with the *correct answer* in a finite amount of time. "*precise*" here will mean written in Java.

Algorithms

Definition

Definition

An **algorithm** is a *finite series of precise instructions* for performing a computation or solving a problem that terminates with the *correct answer* in a finite amount of time. "*precise*" here will mean written in Java.

Example (Algorithm: Maximum element in finite sequence)

```
// @precondition: A is not empty
int maxValue(int A[]) {
    int max = A[0];
    for (int i = 1; i < A.length; i++)
        if (A[i] > max) max = A[i];
    // max is maximum vaule on A[0-i] inclusive
    // i == A.length on exit; max on A[0-A.length - 1] inclusive
    return max;
}
```

Properties of an Algorithms

Input An algorithm has input values from a specified set

Properties of an Algorithms

Input An algorithm has input values from a specified set

Output From each set of input values, an algorithm produces output values, the solution, from a specified set

Properties of an Algorithms

Input An algorithm has input values from a specified set

Output From each set of input values, an algorithm produces output values, the solution, from a specified set

Definiteness The steps of the algorithm are defined precisely

Properties of an Algorithms

Input An algorithm has input values from a specified set

Output From each set of input values, an algorithm produces output values, the solution, from a specified set

Definiteness The steps of the algorithm are defined precisely

Correctness The algorithm should produce the *correct* output for each input value

Properties of an Algorithms

Input An algorithm has input values from a specified set

Output From each set of input values, an algorithm produces output values, the solution, from a specified set

Definiteness The steps of the algorithm are defined precisely

Correctness The algorithm should produce the *correct* output for each input value

Finiteness The solution must be produced in a finite number of steps

Properties of an Algorithms

Input An algorithm has input values from a specified set

Output From each set of input values, an algorithm produces output values, the solution, from a specified set

Definiteness The steps of the algorithm are defined precisely

Correctness The algorithm should produce the *correct* output for each input value

Finiteness The solution must be produced in a finite number of steps

Effectiveness It must be possible to perform each step in the algorithm precisely and in a finite amount of time

Properties of an Algorithms

Input An algorithm has input values from a specified set

Output From each set of input values, an algorithm produces output values, the solution, from a specified set

Definiteness The steps of the algorithm are defined precisely

Correctness The algorithm should produce the *correct* output for each input value

Finiteness The solution must be produced in a finite number of steps

Effectiveness It must be possible to perform each step in the algorithm precisely and in a finite amount of time

Generality The procedure should apply to all problems of the given form, not just a single input value

Algorithms

Linear Search

Example (Algorithm: Linear Search of Finite Sequence)

```
int indexOfMatch(int x, int A[]) {  
    int match = -1; // no match yet found  
    int i = 0;  
    while ((match < 0)           // no match yet  
           && (i < A.length)) { // still list to check  
        if (A[i] == x) match = i; // remember the match  
        i++;  
    }  
    // return index of match or -1 if no match  
    return match;  
}
```

Algorithms

Binary Search

Example (Algorithm: Binary Search of Sorted Finite Sequence)

```
// @precondition A is sorted and non-empty
int binaryMatch(int x, int A[]) {
    int low = 0;
    int high = A.length;
    // search interval half-open: [low, high)

    while (low < high - 1) { // while range > 1 element
        int mid = (low + high)/2; // mid =  $\lfloor \frac{low+high}{2} \rfloor$ 
        if (x > A[mid]) low = mid + 1;
        else high = mid;
    }
    if (A[low] == x) return low;
    else return -1;
}
```

Algorithms

Binary Search

Theorem

binary always terminates

Proof.

The only way it can **not** terminate is to be stuck in the `while` loop.

In the loop, $low \leq mid \leq high - 1$

$high - low$ is the size of the range to be searched

(half-open so no extra $+ 1$)

Algorithms

Binary Search

Theorem

binary always terminates

Proof.

The only way it can **not** terminate is to be stuck in the `while` loop.

In the loop, $low \leq mid \leq high - 1$

$high - low$ is the size of the range to be searched

(half-open so no extra $+ 1$)

$high' - low'$, the value after the loop, is smaller:

Algorithms

Binary Search

Theorem

binary always terminates

Proof.

The only way it can **not** terminate is to be stuck in the while loop.

In the loop, $low \leq mid \leq high - 1$

$high - low$ is the size of the range to be searched

(half-open so no extra + 1)

$high' - low'$, the value after the loop, is smaller:

If $x > A[mid]$, $low' > low$

Otherwise, $high' < high$ because

$low \neq high$; $high' = \lfloor \text{average} \rfloor < high$

Range to be searched is smaller on each iteration of loop; range initially finite so value must cross 1 terminating the while loop. □

Algorithms

Making Change

Example (Problem)

Given: Amount of change to make, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Sequence of r coins: $\text{coins}[0] > \text{coins}[1] < \dots < \text{coins}[r-1]$

Describe an *algorithm* to solve this problem.

Algorithms

Making Change

Example (Algorithm: Greedy Algorithm for Making Change)

```
// @precondition coins in decreasing order
// @precondition n >= 0
// @precondition any value n >= 0 can be made with coins
public static List<Integer> makeChange(int n, int coins[]) {
    List<Integer> change=new ArrayList<Integer>();
    for (int i = 0; i < coins.length; i++) {
        while (n >= coins[i]) {
            change.add(coins[i]);
            n -= coins[i];
        }
        // n < coins[i]: no more coins[i] can be part of change
    }
    return change;
}
```

Algorithms

Change Making Correctness

Lemma

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, $n\text{¢}$ using the **fewest** American coins possible can contain at most one 50¢ piece, one quarter, two dimes, one nickel, and four pennies. Further, it cannot contain two dimes **and** a nickel.

The amount of change, excluding dollar coins, cannot exceed $99\text{¢} = (50 + 25 + 10 + 10 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1)\text{¢}$

Algorithms

Change Making Correctness

Theorem

The greedy algorithm produces correct change in the fewest number of coins using American coins.

Deciders as Functions

A **language** is a set of *strings* across some *alphabet*:

- *string* a sequence of zero or more symbols
- *alphabet* a set of symbols

Deciders as Functions

A **language**, L_d is a set of *strings* across some *alphabet*, Σ .

A **decider** is a predicate function, $d: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ (if it is a *predicate*, how are we interpreting the result bits?)

$d(s) ::= 1$ if and only if $s \in L_d$.

Deciders as Algorithms

A **decider** can also be thought of as an *algorithm*:

Input Σ^*

Output $\{0, 1\}$

Correctness Returns 1 \iff input $\in L_d$.

The other properties must be held so that d works for every string in Σ^* , finishes in finite time, is expressed in a finite number of steps, and so on.

Deciders as Algorithms

For ease of writing, a decider is a single boolean Java function.
So, to decide $L_{\text{even length}}$ across $\{0,1\}^*$, the following would work:

```
boolean decide(String bin) {  
    return (bin.length() % 2) == 0;  
}
```

Deciding other Binary Languages

$\{\}$
 $\{0, 1\}^*$
 $\{\omega : \text{an even number of 1s}\}$
 $\{\omega : \text{as a binary number, is divisible by 4}\}$

Deciding other Binary Languages

```
{}
```

```
boolean decide(String bin) {  
    return false;  
}
```

```
{0,1}*
```

```
{ $\omega$  : an even number of 1s }
```

```
{ $\omega$  : as a binary number, is divisible by 4}
```

Deciding other Binary Languages

$\{\}$
 $\{0, 1\}^*$

```
boolean decide(String bin) {  
    return true;  
}
```

$\{\omega : \text{an even number of 1s}\}$

$\{\omega : \text{as a binary number, is divisible by 4}\}$

Deciding other Binary Languages

```

{}
{0,1}*
{ $\omega$  : an even number of 1s }

boolean decide(String bin) {
    int ones = 0;
    for (int c = 0; c < bin.length(); c++)
        if (bin.charAt(c) == '1') ones++;
    return (ones % 2) == 0;
}

```

{ ω : as a binary number, is divisible by 4}

Deciding other Binary Languages

$\{\}$
 $\{0, 1\}^*$
 $\{\omega : \text{an even number of 1s}\}$
 $\{\omega : \text{as a binary number, is divisible by 4}\}$

```
boolean decide(String bin) {  
    return bin.endsWith("00");  
}
```

The General Halting Problem

Is it possible to write an **algorithm** that when run on a *program*, *input* pair, determines if that *program* halts after a finite amount of time when run on that *input*.

How can we express the Halting Problem in terms of a *binary language*?

Encoding

An **encoding** is a way of representing some set of objects as bit strings. For example, the integer range $[0-255]$ (inclusive) could be encoded into a bit string of length 8 (a byte) with the sequence of bits interpreted as a *base 2 number*.

A set of printable and control characters might similarly be encoded into a bit string of length 8, each pattern mapped to a specific character.

Encoding a Java Program

A **Java program** is encoded (before compiling) as a string of characters from some character set. That character set, in turn, can encode each character as a string of 8 bits (or 16 or 32 bits, depending on the size of the character set).

Apply both encodings in turn and a Java program can be encoded into a bit string.

Deciding Java Programs

$L_{Java} = \{\omega : \omega \in \{0,1\}^* \wedge \omega \text{ encodes a valid Java program}\}$

The *Java decider* then looks like this:

```
boolean decide(String bin) {  
    return validCharString(bin)  
        && validJava(decodeCharString(bin));  
}
```

Halting Problem

As a Language

Definition

Let $\langle P, I \rangle$ be the encoding (into binary) of a program, P and input for that program I . The split between them must also be encoded.

Let $L = \{\langle P, I \rangle\}$ be the set of all binary strings that encode a program followed by input for that program.

Then let $L_H = \{\langle P, I \rangle: P(I) \text{ only runs for a finite amount of time}\}$

L_H is the collection of binary strings representing programs that do **not** loop forever on a given input.

Deciding this language is the same as solving the general Halting Problem.

Halting Problem

As a Language

Definition

Let $\langle P, I \rangle$ be the encoding (into binary) of a program, P and input for that program I . The split between them must also be encoded.

Let $L = \{\langle P, I \rangle\}$ be the set of all binary strings that encode a program followed by input for that program.

Then let $L_H = \{\langle P, I \rangle : P(I) \text{ only runs for a finite amount of time}\}$

L_H is the collection of binary strings representing programs that do **not** loop forever on a given input.

Deciding this language is the same as solving the general Halting Problem.

The **Halting Problem** is the problem of constructing a program, H , that takes two parameters: P , another computer program and I , input for P . H should report “halts” or “loops forever” depending on whether or not P halts on input I .

$$H(P, I) = \begin{cases} \text{“halts”} & \text{if } P(I) \text{ halts} \end{cases}$$

Programs as Data

A program is encoded in some way (Fortran, pseudo-code, Java, bytecode). An encoding can be expressed as a sequence of symbols across some alphabet.

Any alphabet can be re-encoded using strings of bits.

Any program can be expressed as a sequence of bits.

A program, encoded as a sequence of bits, can be given as input to a program. The receiving program may or may not respect the “right” interpretation.

Programs as Data

A program is encoded in some way (Fortran, pseudo-code, Java, bytecode). An encoding can be expressed as a sequence of symbols across some alphabet.

Any alphabet can be re-encoded using strings of bits.

Any program can be expressed as a sequence of bits.

A program, encoded as a sequence of bits, can be given as input to a program. The receiving program may or may not respect the “right” interpretation.

Any program that takes a single input parameter can be passed itself (or rather, its own encoding) as its input.

Halting Problem

Definition

$$H(P, I) = \begin{cases} \text{"halt"} & \text{if } P(I) \text{ halts} \\ \text{"loop"} & \text{if } P(I) \text{ does not halt} \end{cases}$$

Halting Problem

Definition

$$H(P, I) = \begin{cases} \text{"halt"} & \text{if } P(I) \text{ halts} \\ \text{"loop"} & \text{if } P(I) \text{ does not halt} \end{cases}$$

Definition

FSOC Assume H exists. Construct D

$$D(P) = \begin{cases} \text{loop forever} & \text{if } H(D, P) \text{ halts} \\ \text{return} & \text{if } H(D, P) \text{ does not halt} \end{cases}$$

Halting Problem

Definition

$$H(P, I) = \begin{cases} \text{"halt"} & \text{if } P(I) \text{ halts} \\ \text{"loop"} & \text{if } P(I) \text{ does not halt} \end{cases}$$

Definition

FSOC Assume H exists. Construct D

$$D(P) = \begin{cases} \text{loop forever} & \text{if } H(D, P) \text{ halts} \\ \text{return} & \text{if } H(D, P) \text{ does not halt} \end{cases}$$

What does $H(D, D)$ return?

Languages

- alphabet** A finite set of **symbols**; e.g. the *binary alphabet* is $\{0, 1\}$.
- string** A sequence of zero or more symbols from an alphabet; e.g. λ (the empty string), 01011100010, 0, 101
 Σ is used to represent the alphabet as a whole. λ or ϵ stand for the empty string.
- language** A set of **strings**; e.g. $\{w \mid w \text{ starts with } 1\}$, $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$.
- Σ^* The star (Kleene's star operator) means zero or more copies of the symbol before the star. This is short hand for the set of **all** the strings across the alphabet Σ .
- Note:** that means Σ^* is a *set*.

Cardinality of $\{0, 1\}^*$

$\{0, 1\}^*$ is infinite. Consider the set of just strings containing only '1' symbols. The lengths of different strings in this language range across non-negative integers. That is infinite.

Is Σ^* **countable**?

If so, how to prove it.

Cardinality of $\{0, 1\}^*$

$\{0, 1\}^*$ is infinite. Consider the set of just strings containing only '1' symbols. The lengths of different strings in this language range across non-negative integers. That is infinite.

Is Σ^* **countable**?

If so, how to prove it.

Find bijection $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$$f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

Define the *length-then-value* ordering for binary strings: w_1 comes before w_2 if $|w_1| < |w_2|$ or $|w_1| = |w_2| \wedge$ the unsigned number represented by w_1 is less than the number represented by w_2 .

So, Σ^* can be put in order by the above ordering:

$$\{\lambda, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111, \dots\}$$

$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $s = \lfloor \log_2(z) \rfloor$ and $p = 2^s$. Then $f(z) = z - p$ written as a binary number s characters long.

$$f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $s = \lfloor \log_2(z) \rfloor$ and $p = 2^s$. Then $f(z) = z - p$ written as a binary number s characters long.

z	s	$z - p$	$f(z)$
1	0	0	"0" = λ
2	1	0	"0"
3	1	1	"1"
4	2	0	"00"
5	2	1	"01"
6	2	2	"10"
7	2	3	"11"
8	3	0	"000"
9	3	1	"001"
10	3	2	"010"
11	3	3	"011"

$$f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $s = \lfloor \log_2(z) \rfloor$ and $p = 2^s$. Then $f(z) = z - p$ written as a binary number s characters long.

$$f(23) =$$

$$f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $s = \lfloor \log_2(z) \rfloor$ and $p = 2^s$. Then $f(z) = z - p$ written as a binary number s characters long.

$$f(23) = 0111$$

$$f(32) =$$

$$f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $s = \lfloor \log_2(z) \rfloor$ and $p = 2^s$. Then $f(z) = z - p$ written as a binary number s characters long.

$$f(23) = 0111$$

$$f(32) = 00000$$

$$f^{-1}(\lambda) =$$

$$f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $s = \lfloor \log_2(z) \rfloor$ and $p = 2^s$. Then $f(z) = z - p$ written as a binary number s characters long.

$$f(23) = 0111$$

$$f(32) = 00000$$

$$f^{-1}(\lambda) = 0$$

$$f^{-1}(1000) =$$

$$f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $s = \lfloor \log_2(z) \rfloor$ and $p = 2^s$. Then $f(z) = z - p$ written as a binary number s characters long.

$$f(23) = 0111$$

$$f(32) = 00000$$

$$f^{-1}(\lambda) = 0$$

$$f^{-1}(1000) = 24$$

$$f^{-1}(00010) =$$

$$f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $s = \lfloor \log_2(z) \rfloor$ and $p = 2^s$. Then $f(z) = z - p$ written as a binary number s characters long.

$$f(23) = 0111$$

$$f(32) = 00000$$

$$f^{-1}(\lambda) = 0$$

$$f^{-1}(1000) = 24$$

$$f^{-1}(00010) = 34$$

Counting Deciders

- A *decider* is an *algorithm*.
- An *algorithm* can be expressed in Java (or another programming language).
- Any Java program can be encoded as a sequence of *characters* that are, in turn, encoded as sequences (strings) of bits.
- Any Java program can be represented by a *bit string*.

Counting Deciders

$\{\text{deciders}\} \subset \{\text{Java programs}\} \subset \{0, 1\}^*$

Remember: $A \subset B \rightarrow |A| \leq |B|$. This will be important.

An Uncountable Infinity

A Mathematician's View

- \mathbb{Z} is *countably* infinite. (\mathbb{Z} is often used as the canonical countably infinite set.)
- $|\mathbb{R}| > |\mathbb{Z}|$ There are **more** real numbers than there are integers.
- Proof: By contradiction. Assume they are the same size; show that the resulting *bijective* function between them cannot map *onto* \mathbb{R} .

An Uncountable Infinity

A Computer Scientist's View

- $\{0, 1\}^*$ is *countably* infinite. (The language of all *binary strings* is countably infinite.)
- $|\mathbb{P}(\{0, 1\}^*)| > |\{0, 1\}^*|$ There are **more** *binary languages* than there are *binary strings*.
- Proof: By contradiction. Assume they are the same size; show that the resulting *bijective* function between them cannot map *onto* $\mathbb{P}(\{0, 1\}^*)$.

There is more!

$$|\{\text{deciders}\}| \leq |\{\text{Java programs}\}| \leq |\{0, 1\}^*|$$

There are **more** binary languages than there are *deciders* for languages:
there **must be** undecidable languages.

Cardinality of $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$

Review of Terms

- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ = the *binary* alphabet
- $\Sigma^* =$

Cardinality of $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$

Review of Terms

- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ = the *binary* alphabet
- $\Sigma^* = \{ \text{all } \textit{binary} \text{ strings} \}$
 $\{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111, \dots\}$
- $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*) = \text{Set of all } \textit{subsets} \text{ of } \Sigma^*$

Cardinality of $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$

Review of Terms

- $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ = the *binary* alphabet
- $\Sigma^* = \{ \text{all } \textit{binary} \text{ strings} \}$
 $\{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111, \dots\}$
- $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*) = \text{Set of all } \textit{subsets} \text{ of } \Sigma^*$
 $\{ \text{all } \textit{binary} \text{ languages} \}$

Cardinality of $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$

$\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$ is *uncountable*.

$$|\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)| > |\mathbb{Z}^+|$$

$$|\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)| > \aleph_0$$

$\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$ is Uncountable

TBP: $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$ is *uncountable*.

TBP: $|\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)| \neq |\mathbb{Z}^+|$

Countably Infinite

FSOC: $|\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)| = |\mathbb{Z}^+|$

1. bijection $\exists f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$
2. f can be represented as a table

Same cardinality



Looking at f

	ϵ	0	1	00	01	10	11	000	001	...
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	...
3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
4	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
5	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
...										

Each row represents a *subset* of Σ^* or an element of $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$. A sequence of Boolean values whether the string atop the column is/is not in the language in that row.

Looking at f

	ϵ	0	1	00	01	10	11	000	001	...
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	...
3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
4	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
5	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
⋮										

Let $f(i) = f(i)_1 f(i)_2 f(i)_3 f(i)_4 f(i)_5 \dots$

Looking at f

	ϵ	0	1	00	01	10	11	000	001	...
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	...
3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
4	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
5	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
⋮										

Let $f(i) = f(i)_1 f(i)_2 f(i)_3 f(i)_4 f(i)_5 \dots$

Let d , the diagonal language, be $f(1)_1 f(2)_2 f(3)_3 f(4)_4 f(5)_5 \dots = 01101\dots$

Looking at f

	ϵ	0	1	00	01	10	11	000	001	...
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	...
3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
4	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
5	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
\vdots										

Let $f(i) = f(i)_1 f(i)_2 f(i)_3 f(i)_4 f(i)_5 \dots$

Let d , the diagonal language, be $f(1)_1 f(2)_2 f(3)_3 f(4)_4 f(5)_5 \dots = 01101\dots$

Let \bar{d} , the *compliment* of the diagonal language be

$\overline{f(1)_1 f(2)_2 f(3)_3 f(4)_4 f(5)_5} \dots = 10010\dots$

Looking at f

	ϵ	0	1	00	01	10	11	000	001	...
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	...
2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	...
3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
4	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
5	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
\vdots										

Let $f(i) = f(i)_1 f(i)_2 f(i)_3 f(i)_4 f(i)_5 \dots$

Let d , the diagonal language, be $f(1)_1 f(2)_2 f(3)_3 f(4)_4 f(5)_5 \dots = 01101\dots$

Let \bar{d} , the *compliment* of the diagonal language be

$\overline{f(1)_1 f(2)_2 f(3)_3 f(4)_4 f(5)_5} \dots = 10010\dots$

$\bar{d} \notin \text{range}(f)$.

Looking at f

TBP: $\bar{d} \notin \text{range}(f)$.

FSOC: $\bar{d} \in \text{range}(f)$.

1. $\exists z \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ni f(z) = \bar{d}$ Definition of range
 2. $\bar{d}_z = f(z)_z = b$ \bar{d}_z from the table
 3. $\bar{d}_z = \overline{f(z)}_z = \bar{b}$ by construction
- $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ $\bar{d}_z = \bar{b} \wedge \bar{d}_z = b$ Combine 2, 3
- $\therefore f(z) \neq \bar{d}$
- $\therefore \bar{d} \notin \text{range}(f)$



f is **not** surjective; f is not bijective

$\mathbb{P}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ is Uncountable

TBP: $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$ is *uncountable*.

TBP: $|\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)| \neq |\mathbb{Z}^+|$

Countably Infinite

FSOC: $|\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)| = |\mathbb{Z}^+|$

1. bijection $\exists f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)$
2. f can be represented as a table
3. The f in the table is not **onto**.

Same cardinality

$\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ f both is and is not onto

1. and 3.

$\therefore |\mathbb{P}(\Sigma^*)| \neq |\mathbb{Z}^+|$

